Scored Socratic Seminar Rubric

Name:_____

Grade:_____

	Content	Thinking & Reasoning	Conduct
Exceeds A Meets B	 Content Demonstrates advanced understanding and depth of knowledge of the texts through penetrating claims & analogies to this & prior texts. Accurately and insightfully identifies and explains purpose of literary devices used in text. Offers keen, essential excerpts as evidence drawn directly from this & prior texts (w/p#). Ticket shows thorough preparation for the seminar. Demonstrates adequate understanding of the texts through relatively surface-level 	 Thinking & Reasoning Arguments are inciteful and backed up w/specific page-referenced evidence from this and prior texts. Identifies and explains complex ideas clearly. Adds new ideas that build connections to the texts or the ideas of others. Agrees or disagrees with the ideas of the texts or of others based upon well-explained keen, specific evidence (w/p#) Arguments are reasonable and backed up with general references (few p#) as evidence 	 Conduct Offers comments that engender back and forth discussion. Engages in 2-way discussion. Listens actively and participates without dominating the discussion. Keeps comments concise and to the point by thinking before speaking. Makes frequent eye contact with other students during the seminar. Offers pronouncements or speeches that don't necessarily engender back and forth
	 claims & analogies. Explains purposeful use of literary devices, but does not properly identify terms. Identifies literary devices, but offers limited explanation of purpose within text. Offers evidence from notes not necessarily directly from text. Evidence may be less relevant or contain minor flaws. Ticket shows adequate preparation for the seminar. 	 from this and prior texts. Identifies complex ideas with limited explanation. Adds new ideas, but fails to build clear connections. Agrees or disagrees with the ideas of the texts or of others based upon general references to text (few p#) w/o adequate direct evidence. 	 discussion. Engages in 1-way "discussion." Generally is an active listener, but dominates the discussion at times. Comments slowly meander their way to a point, wasting time, but are valuable contributions. Comments are concise and to the point, but somewhat repetitive offering "me too" contributions Makes eye contact with other students during the seminar.
Partially meets C	 Demonstrates basic understanding of the texts w/ limited claims & analogies. Some evidence used is vague, redundant, irrelevant or inconclusive. Ticket shows only fair preparation for the seminar. 	 Arguments are underdeveloped and backed up with general references not direct evidence. Has difficulty moving beyond opinions to make new arguments within discussion. Comments may lack deep thought or contain ideas that may have already been said. 	 Loses focus occasionally and/or is not participating actively. Comments are not concise and show lack of prior thought. Makes limited eye contact consistently with other students during the seminar.
Partially meets D	 Demonstrates limited understanding of the texts. Does not use evidence to support comments. Ticket shows poor preparation for seminar. 	 Arguments are underdeveloped and generally based only on opinions. Comments lack deep thought and often only repeat what other students have already said. 	 Shows occasional disrespect for the purpose of the seminar. Does not listen to all participants in the discussion and/or fails to speak during the seminar. Does not make eye contact with other students during the seminar.
Does not meet F	• NO TICKET. Shows lack of preparation for seminar.	 Comments do not address the discussion topic. Comments show inadequate understanding of the texts. 	 Distracts others during the discussion within audience or group. Works on HW during discussion. Interrupts or insults others.